

Received: 30 May 2018

Accepted: 11 August 2018

DOI: 10.1111/eva.12695

COMMENTARY

WILEY

Evolutionary Applications

Open Access

Evolutionary concepts can benefit both fundamental research and applied research in toxicology (A comment on Brady et al. 2017)

Mark E. Hahn^{1,2,3} ¹Biology Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts²Boston University Superfund Research Program, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts³Woods Hole Center for Oceans and Human Health, Woods Hole, Massachusetts**Correspondence**

Mark E. Hahn, Biology Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA.

Email: mhahn@whoi.edu**Funding information**

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) through the Boston University Superfund Research Program (P42ES007381) and the Woods Hole Center for Oceans and Human Health (NIH grant P01ES021923 and NSF Grant OCE-1314642).

In their insightful overview of the special issue of *Evolutionary Applications* on Evolutionary Toxicology, Brady, Monosson, Matson, and Bickham (2017) provide a much-needed call for the application of evolutionary concepts in our efforts to understand life's responses to toxic chemicals. I write to comment on one aspect of their editorial that deserves a broader perspective.

Brady and coauthors refer several times to toxicology as an “applied” science. Indeed, there are important applications of toxicology, for example, in toxicity testing of chemicals or in human health and ecological risk assessment. However, I would argue that toxicology is much more than an applied science.

Important toxicological research in the past and much of the toxicological research occurring today should be considered basic or fundamental research, rather than applied. For example, toxic chemicals—including both natural products such as tetrodotoxin and synthetic chemicals like dioxin (2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin)—have long been used as “molecular probes” to investigate fundamental aspects of cell and molecular biology (Narahashi, 1977; Poland & Kende, 1976). Research in toxicology (and its sibling, pharmacology) has provided fundamental insights into the biochemistry of enzymes that catalyze the biotransformation of both xenobiotic and endogenous chemicals (Lu, 1998; Nebert & Gonzalez, 1987; Nelson, Goldstone, & Stegeman, 2013). Transcription factors discovered because of their roles in the response to chemicals have

subsequently been found to have fundamental roles in development, physiology, and immunology (Esser & Rannug, 2015; Nebert, 2017; Oladimeji & Chen, 2018; Sykiotis & Bohmann, 2010).

Even much of the toxicological research performed in support of applied goals such as testing or risk assessment is of a fundamental nature. Many examples can be found in the extensive research on mechanisms of toxicity, which generates basic understanding that informs screening efforts (Martin et al., 2010; Sipes et al., 2013) and regulatory decision-making (Clewett, 2005; Haber et al., 2001; Sturla et al., 2014). Such research might best be considered fundamental research inspired by societal needs or “use-inspired basic research” as defined by Stokes (1995, 1997).

The concept of *Evolutionary Toxicology* encompasses at least two distinct but related ideas, both of which are noted in Brady et al. (2017). The first, as outlined in the foundational description of *Evolutionary Toxicology* (Bickham & Smolen, 1994), concerns how exposure to chemicals can, by causing mutations or imposing strong selective pressures, drive the evolution of populations and species (Bickham, 2011; Bickham, Sandhu, Hebert, Chikhi, & Athwal, 2000; Di Giulio & Clark, 2015; Klerks, Xie, & Levinton, 2011; Nacci, Champlin, & Jayaraman, 2010; Oziolor, Bickham, & Matson, 2017; Oziolor & Matson, 2015; Reid et al., 2016). The second involves understanding how deep evolutionary history has shaped animal responses to chemicals, including mechanisms of toxicity (Ballatori,

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2018 The Authors. *Evolutionary Applications* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

Boyer, & Rockett, 2003; Ballatori & Villalobos, 2002) and defense (Goldstone et al., 2006; Nebert & Dieter, 2000) and using that information to inform both basic research and applied research in toxicology. For example, understanding the evolutionary basis of phenotypic plasticity during development provides insight into fundamental mechanisms underlying the developmental origins of adult disease (Gluckman, Hanson, & Beedle, 2007; Lea, Tung, Archie, & Alberts, 2017). Such an evolutionary perspective, which has parallels in the emerging field of *Evolutionary Medicine* (Nesse & Stearns, 2008; Stearns, 2012; Stearns, Nesse, Govindaraju, & Ellison, 2010; Wells, Nesse, Sear, Johnstone, & Stearns, 2017), can guide the selection of model systems in toxicological research and inform the extrapolation of results from those models to humans or wildlife (e.g., Gunnarsson, Jauhiainen, Kristiansson, Nerman, & Larsson, 2008; Lalone et al., 2013; Leung et al., 2017).

The thesis of Brady et al. (2017)—that an evolutionary perspective can benefit toxicology—is one with which I strongly agree (Hahn, 2002; Hahn, Karchner, & Merson, 2017; Whitehead, Clark, Reid, Hahn, & Nacci, 2017). However, evolutionary concepts can enrich more than just the applied forms of toxicology; they also provide an important framework that enhances the fundamental understanding of toxicological mechanisms and the basic biology of the genes and proteins that control life's response to toxic chemicals.

ORCID

Mark E. Hahn  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4358-2082>

REFERENCES

- Ballatori, N., Boyer, J. L., & Rockett, J. C. (2003). Exploiting genome data to understand the function, regulation, and evolutionary origins of toxicologically relevant genes. *EHP Toxicogenomics*, 111, 61–65.
- Ballatori, N., & Villalobos, A. (2002). Defining the molecular and cellular basis of toxicity using comparative models. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 183, 207–220. <https://doi.org/10.1006/taap.2002.9488>
- Bickham, J. W. (2011). The four cornerstones of Evolutionary Toxicology. *Ecotoxicology*, 20, 497–502. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-011-0636-y>
- Bickham, J. W., Sandhu, S., Hebert, P. D., Chikhi, L., & Athwal, R. (2000). Effects of chemical contaminants on genetic diversity in natural populations: Implications for biomonitoring and ecotoxicology. *Mutation Research*, 463, 33–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5742\(00\)00004-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5742(00)00004-1)
- Bickham, J. W., & Smolen, M. J. (1994). Somatic and heritable effects of environmental genotoxins and the emergence of evolutionary toxicology. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 102(Suppl 12), 25–28. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.94102s1225>
- Brady, S. P., Monosson, E., Matson, C. W., & Bickham, J. W. (2017). Evolutionary toxicology: Toward a unified understanding of life's response to toxic chemicals. *Evolutionary Applications*, 10, 745–751. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12519>
- Clewell, H. (2005). Use of mode of action in risk assessment: Past, present, and future. *Regulatory Toxicology & Pharmacology*, 42, 3–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2005.01.008>
- Di Giulio, R. T., & Clark, B. W. (2015). The Elizabeth River story: A case study in evolutionary toxicology. *Journal of Toxicology & Environmental Health B Critical Reviews*, 18, 259–298. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15320383.2015.1074841>
- Esser, C., & Rannug, A. (2015). The aryl hydrocarbon receptor in barrier organ physiology, immunology, and toxicology. *Pharmacological Reviews*, 67, 259–279. <https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.114.009001>
- Gluckman, P. D., Hanson, M. A., & Beedle, A. S. (2007). Early life events and their consequences for later disease: A life history and evolutionary perspective. *American Journal of Human Biology*, 19, 1–19.
- Goldstone, J. V., Hamdoun, A., Cole, B. J., Howard-Ashby, M., Nebert, D. W., Scally, M., ... Stegeman, J. J. (2006). The chemical defensome: Environmental sensing and response genes in the *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* genome. *Developmental Biology*, 300, 366–384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2006.08.066>
- Gunnarsson, L., Jauhiainen, A., Kristiansson, E., Nerman, O., & Larsson, D. G. (2008). Evolutionary conservation of human drug targets in organisms used for environmental risk assessments. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 42, 5807–5813. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es8005173>
- Haber, L. T., Maier, A., Zhao, Q., Dollarhide, J. S., Savage, R. E., & Dourson, M. L. (2001). Applications of mechanistic data in risk assessment: The past, present, and future. *Toxicological Sciences*, 61, 32–39. <https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/61.1.32>
- Hahn, M. E. (2002). Aryl hydrocarbon receptors: Diversity and evolution. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, 141, 131–160. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2797\(02\)00070-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2797(02)00070-4)
- Hahn, M. E., Karchner, S. I., & Merson, R. R. (2017). Diversity as opportunity: Insights from 600 million years of AHR evolution. *Current Opinion in Toxicology*, 2, 58–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cotox.2017.02.003>
- Klerks, P. L., Xie, L., & Levinton, J. S. (2011). Quantitative genetics approaches to study evolutionary processes in ecotoxicology; a perspective from research on the evolution of resistance. *Ecotoxicology*, 20, 513–523. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-011-0640-2>
- Lalone, C. A., Villeneuve, D. L., Burgoon, L. D., Russom, C. L., Helgen, H. W., Berninger, J. P., ... Ankley, G. T. (2013). Molecular target sequence similarity as a basis for species extrapolation to assess the ecological risk of chemicals with known modes of action. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 144–145, 141–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.09.004>
- Lea, A. J., Tung, J., Archie, E. A., & Alberts, S. C. (2017). Developmental plasticity: Bridging research in evolution and human health. *Evolution, Medicine, and Public Health*, 2017, 162–175.
- Leung, M. C. K., Procter, A. C., Goldstone, J. V., Foox, J., DeSalle, R., Mattingly, C. J., ... Timme-Laragy, A. R. (2017). Applying evolutionary genetics to developmental toxicology and risk assessment. *Reproductive Toxicology*, 69, 174–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2017.03.003>
- Lu, A. Y. (1998). The 1996 Bernard B. Brodie lecture: A journey in cytochrome P450 and drug metabolism research. *Drug Metabolism & Disposition*, 26, 1168–1173.
- Martin, M. T., Dix, D. J., Judson, R. S., Kavlock, R. J., Reif, D. M., Richard, A. M., ... Houck, K. A. (2010). Impact of environmental chemicals on key transcription regulators and correlation to toxicity end points within EPA's ToxCast program. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 23, 578–590. <https://doi.org/10.1021/tx900325g>
- Nacci, D. E., Champlin, D., & Jayaraman, S. (2010). Adaptation of the estuarine fish *Fundulus heteroclitus* (Atlantic killifish) to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). *Estuaries and Coasts*, 33, 853–864. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-009-9257-6>
- Narahashi, T. (1977). Toxic chemicals as probes of nerve membrane function. *Advances in Experimental Medicine & Biology*, 84, 407–445. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-3279-4>

- Nebert, D. W. (2017). Aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR): "pioneer member" of the basic-helix/loop/helix per-Arnt-sim (bHLH/PAS) family of "sensors" of foreign and endogenous signals. *Progress in Lipid Research*, 67, 38–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plipres.2017.06.001>
- Nebert, D. W., & Dieter, M. Z. (2000). The evolution of drug metabolism. *Pharmacology*, 61, 124–135. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000028393>
- Nebert, D. W., & Gonzalez, F. J. (1987). P450 genes: Structure, evolution, and regulation. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, 56, 945–993. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.56.070187.004501>
- Nelson, D. R., Goldstone, J. V., & Stegeman, J. J. (2013). The cytochrome P450 genesis locus: The origin and evolution of animal cytochrome P450s. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B Biological Sciences*, 368, 20120474. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2012.0474>
- Nesse, R. M., & Stearns, S. C. (2008). The great opportunity: Evolutionary applications to medicine and public health. *Evolutionary Applications*, 1, 28–48. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2007.00006.x>
- Oladimeji, P. O., & Chen, T. (2018). PXR: More than just a master xenobiotic receptor. *Molecular Pharmacology*, 93, 119–127. <https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.117.110155>
- Oziolor, E. M., Bickham, J. W., & Matson, C. W. (2017). Evolutionary toxicology in an omics world. *Evolutionary Applications*, 10, 752–761. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12462>
- Oziolor, E. M., & Matson, C. W. (2015). Evolutionary toxicology: Population adaptation in response to anthropogenic pollution. In R. Riesch, M. Tobler, & M. Plath (Eds.), *Extremophile fishes. Ecology, evolution, and physiology of teleosts in extreme environments* (pp. 247–277). Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Poland, A., & Kende, A. (1976). 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin: Environmental contaminant and molecular probe. *Federation Proceedings*, 35, 2404–2411.
- Reid, N. M., Proestou, D. A., Clark, B. W., Warren, W. C., Colbourne, J. K., Shaw, J. R., ... Whitehead, A. (2016). The genomic landscape of rapid repeated evolutionary adaptation to toxic pollution in wild fish. *Science*, 354, 1305–1308. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah4993>
- Sipes, N. S., Martin, M. T., Kothiya, P., Reif, D. M., Judson, R. S., Richard, A. M., ... Knudsen, T. B. (2013). Profiling 976 ToxCast chemicals across 331 enzymatic and receptor signaling assays. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 26, 878–895. <https://doi.org/10.1021/tx400021f>
- Stearns, S. C. (2012). Evolutionary medicine: Its scope, interest and potential. *Proceedings of Royal Society B*, 279, 4305–4321. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.1326>
- Stearns, S. C., Nesse, R. M., Govindaraju, D. R., & Ellison, P. T. (2010). Evolution in health and medicine Sackler colloquium: Evolutionary perspectives on health and medicine. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107(Suppl 1), 1691–1695. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0914475107>
- Stokes, D. E. (1995). *Renewing the compact between science and government*. In Vannevar Bush II: Science for the 21st Century. Research Triangle Park, NC: Sigma Xi.
- Stokes, D. E. (1997). *Pasteur's quadrant: Basic science and technological innovation*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- Sturla, S. J., Boobis, A. R., FitzGerald, R. E., Hoeng, J., Kavlock, R. J., Schirmer, K., ... Peitsch, M. C. (2014). Systems toxicology: From basic research to risk assessment. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 27, 314–329. <https://doi.org/10.1021/tx400410s>
- Syktotis, G. P., & Bohmann, D. (2010). Stress-activated cap'n'collar transcription factors in aging and human disease. *Science Signaling*, 3, re3.
- Wells, J. C. K., Nesse, R. M., Sear, R., Johnstone, R. A., & Stearns, S. C. (2017). Evolutionary public health: Introducing the concept. *Lancet*, 390, 500–509. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)30572-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30572-X)
- Whitehead, A., Clark, B. W., Reid, N. M., Hahn, M. E., & Nacci, D. (2017). When evolution is the solution to pollution: Key principles, and lessons from rapid repeated adaptation of killifish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*) populations. *Evolutionary Applications*, 10, 762–783. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12470>

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Hahn ME. Evolutionary concepts can benefit both fundamental research and applied research in toxicology (A comment on Brady et al. 2017). *Evol Appl*. 2019;12:350–352. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12695>